# DOT-K: Distributed Online Top-K Elements Algorithm with Extreme Value Statistics

Nick Carey, Tamás Budavári, Yanif Ahmad, Alexander Szalay Johns Hopkins University Department of Computer Science ncarey4@jhu.edu

### Context

- Simple Top-k query selecting the largest 'k' data elements
- Peta-scale and above datasets row-partitioned over many nodes
- Naïve, centralized solutions quickly become untenable at scale

# **Top-K Query Research**

- Most work in the field is based on variants of the Threshold Algorithm, selecting the Top-K of a monotonic aggregation function over row elements
- We target the simple Top-K query, and our approach is generic and widely applicable

|   | Query Model     |            |                 | Data & Query<br>Certainty      |                                  |                | Data Access |                        |                                      | Implement.<br>Level |                   | Ranking<br>Function |         |
|---|-----------------|------------|-----------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------|-------------|------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|---------------------|---------|
|   | Top-k Selection | Top-k Join | Top-k Aggregate | Certain Data,<br>Exact Methods | Certain Data, Approx.<br>Methods | Uncertain Data | No Random   | Both Sorted and Random | Sorted + Controlled Random<br>Probes | Query Engine Level  | Application Level | Monotone            | Generic |
| TA (Fagin et al. 2001),<br>Quick-Combine (Güntzer et al. 2000)  | ~               |            |                 | ~                              |                                  |                |             | ~                      |                                      |                     | ~                 | ~                   |         |
| TA-O approx (Fagin et al. 2003)   | ~               |            |                 |                                | 1                                |                |             | ~                      |                                      | -                   | 1                 | ~                   |         |
| NRA (Fagin et al. 2001),<br>Stream-Combine(Güntzer et al. 2001)   | ~               |            |                 | ~                              |                                  |                | ~           |                        |                                      |                     | ~                 | ~                   | 2       |
| CA (Fgain et al. 2001)  | ~               |            |                 | 1                              |                                  |                |             | 1                      |                                      |                     | 1                 | 1                   |         |
| Upper/Pick (Bruno et al. 2002)  | ~               |            |                 | ~                              |                                  |                |             |                        | 1                                    |                     | 1                 | 1                   |         |
| Mpro (Chang et al. 2002)  | ~               |            |                 | 1                              |                                  |                |             |                        | ~                                    |                     | 1                 | 1                   |         |
| J* (Natsev et al. 2001)   |                 | 1          |                 | 1                              |                                  |                | 1           |                        |                                      |                     | 1                 | 1                   |         |
| J* e-approx. (Natsev et al. 2001)   |                 | 1          |                 |                                | ~                                |                | 1           |                        |                                      |                     | 1                 | 1                   |         |
| PREFER (Hristidis et al. 2001),<br>Filter-Restart (Bruno et al. 2002),<br>Onion Indices (Chang et al. 2000),<br>LPTA(Das et al. 2006) | ~               |            | ~               |                                |                                  | N/A            |             |                        | ~                                    | ~                   |                   |                     |         |
| NRA-RJ (Ilyas et al. 2002)  | ~               |            |                 | ~                              |                                  |                | ~           |                        |                                      | ~                   |                   | 1                   |         |
| Rank-Join (Ilyas et al. 2003)   |                 | 1          |                 | ~                              |                                  |                |             |                        | ~                                    | ~                   |                   | ~                   |         |
| RankSQL - µ operator (Li 2005)  | ~               |            |                 | ~                              |                                  |                |             |                        | 1                                    | ~                   |                   | ~                   |         |
| rankaggr Operator (Li 2006)   |                 |            | 1               | ~                              |                                  |                | 1           |                        |                                      | ~                   |                   | ~                   |         |
| TopX (Theobald et al. 2005)   | 1               |            |                 |                                | ~                                |                |             | 1                      |                                      |                     | 1                 | ~                   |         |
| KLEE (Michel et al. 2005)   | 1               |            |                 |                                | 1                                |                | ~           |                        |                                      |                     | 1                 | ~                   |         |
| OPT* (Zhang et al. 2006)  | 1               |            | 1               |                                |                                  | N/A            |             |                        | 1                                    |                     | 1                 |                     |         |
| OPTU-Topk (Soliman et al. 2007)   |                 | ~          |                 |                                |                                  | ~              | 1           |                        |                                      |                     | 1                 | ~                   |         |
| MS_Topk (Ré et al. 2007)  | 1               |            |                 |                                |                                  | 1              |             | N/A                    |                                      |                     | 1                 | 1                   |         |

Fig. 4. Properties of Different top-k Processing Techniques

I. F. Ilyas, G. Beskales, and M. A. Soliman, "A survey of top-k query processing techniques in relational database systems," ACM Comput. Surv., vol. 40, no. 4, pp. 11:1–11:58, Oct. 2008. [Online]. Available: http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/1391729.1391730

### Structure

- Overview of relevant Extreme Value Statistics
- Outline of DOT-K Algorithm
- Experimental results

### **Extreme Value Statistics**

- EVS is concerned with characterizing the tail distributions, or extreme values, of random variables.
- Traditionally used to describe extreme environmental phenomena as well as weakest-links in reliability modeling

### Pickands, Balkema, de Haan Theorem

- The distribution of threshold exceedances of a sequence of independent and identically-distributed random variables with a common continuous underlying distribution function is approximated by the Generalized Pareto Distribution, and that the approximation converges as the tail threshold rises
- The 'k' largest values of a dataset may be well approximated by the Generalized Pareto Distribution provided the 'k'th order statistic is appropriately high

### Bias-Variance Trade-off

- Selecting a threshold from which to model threshold exceedances
- A lower threshold results in a worse theoretical GPD approximation of the data
- A higher threshold limits the amount of available threshold exceedances leading to greater parameter estimation uncertainty
- Fortunately for our context, this becomes less of a problem as dataset size increases

#### **Generalized Pareto Distribution**

$$p(x|\xi,\sigma,\mu) = \frac{1}{\sigma} \left[ 1 + \xi \cdot \left( \frac{x-\mu}{\sigma} \right) \right]^{-\frac{1}{\xi}}$$

-1

**Equation 1.** GPD probability density function including parameters **\epsilon** (shape) **\sigma** (scale) and **\mu** (location, or threshold)

### Estimating GPD Parameters in Practice

- Variety of published methods for estimating GPD parameters that best fit a set of threshold exceedances
- Various strengths and weaknesses in computational complexity and accuracy
- Crucial to the DOT-K algorithm, as good parameter fit greatly affects query accuracy
- For our purposes, we use a computationally intense yet relatively accurate Maximum Likelihood Estimator



- Equation 2. Coles' M-Observation Return Level equation.  $\zeta_{\upsilon}$  is a constant estimated by the number of observations exceeding  $\mu$  divided by total observations
- For a given GPD, one may calculate the threshold  $\boldsymbol{x}_m$  that is exceeded on average once every m observations
- By relating 'm' to the dataset size, we can estimate various order statistics

## DOT-K Algorithm Objective

• Assuming a numerical dataset row-partitioned across many nodes, our goal is to estimate the k'th largest element and subsequently retrieve all elements greater than the estimate

# DOT-K Algorithm

- 1. Each distributed node collects its largest 'k' local values and calculates the GPD parameters that best fit the local data partition
- 2. By relating the GPD parameters collected from each data partition node, the query issuer estimates the global k'th largest element by numerically solving Equation 3 (next slide)
- 3. The k'th order statistic estimate is communicated to the distributed nodes and the exceedances are relayed back to the query issuer

### **Our Contribution**

$$\sum_{i=1}^{p} n_i \zeta_{\mu_i} \left[ 1 + \xi_i \cdot \left( \frac{x_m - \mu_i}{\sigma_i} \right) \right]^{-\frac{1}{\xi_i}} = k$$

**Equation 3.** Our modification of Coles' M-Observation Return Level. Numerically solving for  $x_m$ , this equation estimates each distributed data partition's expected contribution to the top-k query result.

Note that this equation is also useful for estimating many upper order statistics by varying 'k';  $x_m$  is the estimate for the 'k'th global order statistic

### **Communications Overhead**

- Four series of messages
  - Query Issuer sends message to each dataset partition node, starting query and communicating the query parameter 'k'
  - Dataset partition nodes forward local GPD parameter estimates to central Query Issuer
  - Query Issuer relays global k'th order statistic estimate to each dataset partition
  - Dataset partitions forward k'th order statistic exceedances to Query Issuer forming the query result
- Ideal DOT-K implementation transmits 4\*P total messages between all nodes with approximately 6\*P + ~k total real values communicated

