A parallel microsimulation package for modelling cancer screening policies.
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Summary

- Microsimulation with stochastic life histories is an important tool in the development of public policies.
- We provide an R package for flexible and parallel discrete-event microsimulations.
- The close coupling between R and C++ offers advantages such as ease of software dissemination, simple data management and analysis in R combined with the speed of C++.
- The performance gained by the hybrid OpenMP/MPI came at the cost of significant re-factoring of the existing code.
- In a case study, we showed that four-yearly prostate cancer testing would have a similar effectiveness and a marked decrease in costs compared with two-yearly testing and current testing.

Microsimulation R package

The microsimulation R package is open source and released under a GPL licence [1]. For speed, the simulation engine and model is specified in C++, with pre- and post-processing in R, using the Rcpp package to seamlessly pass data structures between R and C++ [2]. The C++ core uses the SSIM library for discrete-event simulation [3]. The SSIM library is lightweight, providing classes for processes and events and static methods for the simulation.

We use common random numbers from the RngStreams library, where the random number stream is divided into sub-streams and each individual assigned a sub-stream [4].

Most discrete-event simulation (DES) frameworks focus on large and process-oriented simulations, rather than lightweight, event-oriented simulations which is more efficient for modelling rare events [5].

Parallel Performance

We investigated four forms of parallelism: (i) shared memory parallelism using R; (ii) shared memory parallelism at the C++ level; (iii) distributed memory parallelism using R; and (iv) a hybrid with shared/distributed memory parallelism.

Our benchmarking of the four implementations was done on a cluster with eight cores per node using a maximum of 16 nodes. For the benchmarking, we decided on a simulation size of 10^7 individuals.
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Case Study

Using the microsimulation package, we implemented a prostate cancer model based on a well validated model from the Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center [6]. Leveraging extensive Swedish population-based on cancer incidence, treatment modalities, mortality and prostate cancer survival we calibrated the model to the Nordic context.

Cost-effectiveness Analysis

We also investigated the cost-effectiveness of prostate cancer testing under these re-testing protocols. For each scenario, we calculated the discounted expected costs and discounted expected effectiveness. Effectiveness was measured in terms of quality adjusted life-years (QALYs) and costs were measured in terms of Swedish kronor (1SEK ≈ 0.11USD).
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